

Organisation internationale du Travail  
*Tribunal administratif*

International Labour Organization  
*Administrative Tribunal*

*Registry's translation,  
the French text alone  
being authoritative.*

**K. (Nos. 1 and 2)**

**v.**

**ITU**

(Applications for review and interpretation)

**140th Session**

**Judgment No. 5095**

THE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,

Considering the applications for review of Judgments 4906 and 4907, filed by Mr E. K. on 5 October 2024;

Considering the application for interpretation of Judgment 4907, filed by the complainant on 11 October 2024;

Considering Articles II, paragraph 5, and VI, paragraph 1, of the Statute of the Tribunal and Articles 6, paragraph 5, and 7 of its Rules;

Having examined the written submissions;

CONSIDERATIONS

1. The complainant, a former staff member of the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), has filed applications for review of Judgments 4906 and 4907, delivered in public on 8 July 2024, in which the Tribunal firstly dismissed his applications for review of Judgments 4567, 4568, 4569, 4584 and 4732, and secondly dismissed his applications for interpretation of Judgments 4568, 4569 and 4584.

The complainant has also filed an application for interpretation of the aforementioned Judgment 4907.

2. The complainant has requested the recusal, in all cases concerning him, of the judge presiding the panel charged with hearing and determining these applications. However, for the same reasons as set forth in Judgment 4584, consideration 2, the Tribunal finds that this request should be rejected.

3. The present applications for review and interpretation are directed against judgments concerning related cases and the present application for interpretation concerns one of those same judgments. Accordingly, they will be joined to form the subject of a single judgment.

4. It is apparent from the files that the complainant's arguments are, in essence, identical to those contained in his previous applications. In the circumstances, the Tribunal can do nothing more than refer to its reasoning set forth in the aforementioned Judgments 4906 and 4907 which led it to dismiss the applications filed as clearly devoid of merit and clearly irreceivable, respectively, which applies, for the same reasons, to the present applications.

5. It follows from all the foregoing, firstly, that the applications for review filed by the complainant are clearly devoid of merit and, secondly, that the application for interpretation is clearly irreceivable. They must, therefore, be summarily dismissed in accordance with the procedure set out in Article 7 of the Rules of the Tribunal.

#### DECISION

For the above reasons,

The applications for review and interpretation are dismissed.

In witness of this judgment, adopted on 30 May 2025, Mr Patrick Frydman, President of the Tribunal, Mr Jacques Jaumotte, Judge, and Mr Clément Gascon, Judge, sign below, as do I, René M. Vargas M., Registrar.

Delivered on 3 July 2025 by video recording posted on the Tribunal's Internet page.

*(Signed)*

PATRICK FRYDMAN    JACQUES JAUMOTTE    CLEMENT GASCON

RENÉ M. VARGAS M.